

To the moralist the spectacle of a nation
wasting breathlessly for the news of such an
encounter, which is a violation of its own
laws, is a singular commentary on our civil-
ization. It is by no means strange that
pugilists should engage in prize fights, for
so long as money and notoriety are to be
gained by such a career so long will men be
driven to supply the demand; and so long as
the public wants the news of such things,
so long will the papers continue to publish
the details of the pugilists' exploits. The pub-
lic interest in exhibitions of brute strength is
the cause of prize fighting, and while it con-
tinues superior to respect for law and order,
pugilism may be expected to flourish.

What is the cause of the public interest
which creates the demand that is met by
heroes of the Sullivan and Kilrain stamp?
It is not love for athletics, as the practice of
athletic sports is as distinct from profes-
sional pugilism as day is from night; and
the patrons of prize-fights are generally in
their own persons the least athletic of men.
It is the same taste which enjoyed
gladiatorial combats in ancient times,
which loved bar-baiting in the medieval
periods, and which glories in dog fights and
bull fights at the present day. It is the
streak of savagery which occasionally
breaks through the glaze of civilization; and
however unfattering it may be to our
ideas of human progress it must be accepted
as a fact.

While the philosopher must recognize
prize-fighting as a product of popular
taste for ill-directed pugnacity, he must
also include in his estimate the fact that
the pugilists are not a lawless set, but
remove which that taste shows civilization
to have effected ever barbarism.

UNDESERVED FAME.
The literary successors of Mr. Allen
Thorndike Rice reveal the fact that the
writers of the "Arthur Richmond" letters in
the North American Review were Julian
Hawthorne and Gail Hamilton. The state-
ment being evoked by a disposition to
credit the letters to Mr. Rice, which he
merited uncontradicted by the real authors,
indicates an endorsement of the writers
of the letters, not particularly creditable.
Most people, not wholly
blinded by partisanship will agree
in that view. A genius for formal and
manifold scolding has not been considered
of a very high order since the days of
Xanthippe, and the letters of the "Arthur
Richmond" style of political literature have
the better for the intelligence and fair-
ness of our politics.

SCIENTIFIC FERRIBERAS.
The remarkable amount of rainy weather
which took place during the past three
months has been a subject of wonder to the
entire public. It is beyond question that
the majority would be glad to have this
phenomenal work of the elements satisfied;
and it is doubtless with a view to gratifying
the public desire, that some of our eastern
contemporaries have bent their mighty in-
tellects to the task of solving the problem.
The most recent explanation is that of
"general humidity," which translated to
mean that there was a great deal of moisture
in the atmosphere. This having been plain
to all who were much out of doors during
the rainy season, the New York Tribune
goes a step further and claims that the mois-
ture was brought down from the sky by the
prevalence of breezes from the ocean, which
may do for New York City; but will hardly
answer for the region west of the Alleghen-
ies, which was rather the moister of the
two sections. The Tribune backs up its
theory with the declaration of the fact "that
persistent deviations from the average cli-
matic features of any part of our country
are attributable to modifications of the
proper seasonal distribution of air pres-
sure."

All this may be very true; but it leaves
the public not much further along than the
original state of knowledge. The rain was
in the clouds and it fell. The statement
may be made more obscure by scientific
terms; but that process does not bring us
much nearer to the original cause.

GOOD FORTUNE GROWS GREATER.
While other cities are casting around in
every direction—most of them vainly—for
cheap fuel, Pittsburgh periodically receives
a new accession of happiness in the most
tangible element that the natural gas is not
transient blessing, but an assured perma-
nent thing for this generation at least. Not
only are the old fields holding up hand-
somely, but new and larger ones with easy
access are found each week. Murrysville
was followed by a vast territory in Wash-
ington county. Then came Grapeville, with
huge resources, whose limit no one under-
takes to measure. Yesterday were brought
news of the largest strike of all in another
quarter, at Bellevue. So it goes.

After five or six years of vastly growing
consumption of the gas, we find more than
ever at hand for the daily supply, and new
districts opening up on a scale implying

that the huge volume of the gift is still in
reserve.
We have seen what a tremendous impetus
the gas, co-operating with Pittsburgh's other
conspicuous advantages, has given to local
growth. The expectations of the most sanguine
have been surpassed. Our city has
shed its business from the tenth
to the seventh place on the list
of American cities, passing New Or-
leans, Cincinnati and Baltimore in
swift succession, and now competing for
precedence with St. Louis and San Fran-
cisco. The manufacturing industry of the
section have been made prosperous beyond
precedent, as if by the stroke of a magic
wand. New and flourishing towns have
sprung up where but yesterday were open
fields. Mercantile trade has increased enor-
mously.

What estimate can safely be said to be
exaggerated or too sanguine of the future of
this city as to population and business, in
view of what we have seen in five years, and
with the knowledge that we have of the vast
resources still undeveloped?

DANGER OF THE POTTERY TRUST.
A member of the city crockery trade
states, as a result of his observations in
England, that the English manufacturers
stand ready to supply the trade of this
country, if the proposed Pottery Trust
could succeed in advancing prices. That
would be an insupportable rebuff to a com-
bination which would enhance the cost of
such products; and yet it is by no means
the most serious consequence that would
follow on artificial enlargements of the
margin of profits.

There is no monopoly of the business of
pottery, and the danger of the trust is
preventing the construction of new ones. The
trade has grown rapidly under the attrac-
tions which was offered by competition.
How much more rapidly they would spring
up if a combination should establish on
temporary enlargement of profits by the
suppression of rival product better and cheaper
than its own. But we may be sure that the
proposed trust would be kept busy either in
buying up the new concerns that it would
stimulate into existence or in fighting them
to reduce them to its discipline.

Simultaneously with this result would
come the restriction of consumption, which
inevitably follows the advance of price and
the disposition of the public to find means
to take the place of the costly articles.
Nothing is easier to find substitutes for than
pottery; and the fact that one trust has
succeeded in stimulating the production of
other products better and cheaper than its
own, and thus ruling its own business,
should have weight with the pottery
manufacturers. Those who wish to main-
tain their industry on a basis of sound and
permanent prosperity, should be sure that
no regulation is more productive of these
results than that of natural competition.

But the trust may afford a good frame-
work for palming off on the public a lot of
bogus and paper values; and possibly the
trust projectors will be fully satisfied with
that result without regard to future com-
plications.

DIVERSE VIEWS ON PUGILISM.
There is not unanimity of opinion
about prize fighting and fighters as the ad-
mirers of the athletic art and sporting man-
ners generally desire. Those who have read
the voluminous accounts of the preparations for
the contest between John L. Sullivan and
Jake Kilrain, and those who have not, are
agreed on one point alone, namely, that the
two pugilists have received an amount of
attention in the last week or two that any
two other human beings on this earth.

This effect is to be traced to only one
cause. The readers of the newspapers de-
sired the information. Not all the readers,
but a very considerable number. They were
deeply interested in the event leading up
to the fight, and they were not particularly
interested in the pugilists themselves, but
in the fact that the pugilists had been
arrested and sent to jail for a long
term. It is merely a rather strange phe-
nomenon in the world's progress that we
point out. The majority have only come to
the general disapproval of prize fights as
an important and interesting fact in the
history of the world.

NO USE FOR MONEY.
The assurance which Senator Hiseock
gave the country in his fourth of July speech
that the "Arthur Richmond" letters in the
North American Review were written by
Julian Hawthorne and Gail Hamilton, has
the better for the intelligence and fair-
ness of our politics.

SCIENTIFIC FERRIBERAS.
The remarkable amount of rainy weather
which took place during the past three
months has been a subject of wonder to the
entire public. It is beyond question that
the majority would be glad to have this
phenomenal work of the elements satisfied;
and it is doubtless with a view to gratifying
the public desire, that some of our eastern
contemporaries have bent their mighty in-
tellects to the task of solving the problem.

build a \$30,000 residence at Washington out
of a salary of \$6,000 per annum during six
years. The estimated Times is not up with
the times. When certain other Senators are
required to explain to they laid up for-
tunes of from ten to fifty millions out of an
original income of nothing per year, it may
be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand that they should
be required to do so. The Times is not up
with the times. When certain other Sena-
tors are required to explain to they laid up
fortunes of from ten to fifty millions out of
an original income of nothing per year, it
may be pertinent to demand